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\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

## PROCEEDING

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Good morning.
MR. BLOOMFIELD: Good morning.
MR. SPEIDEL: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Chairman Honigberg is unable to be here this morning. So, you're stuck with me.

So, I'll start with, I suppose,
appearances. Well, actually, I'll introduce very briefly first. Again, we're here for DG 15-377, for Concord Steam Corporation's 2015-16 Cost of Energy adjustment.

And, with that, I'll take appearances.
MR. BLOOMFIELD: Commissioners, this is
Peter Bloomfield, Concord Steam, and Mark Saltsman with me.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Good morning.
MR. SPEIDEL: Good morning,
Commissioners. Alexander Speidel, representing the Staff of the Commission. And, I have with me Stephen Frink, Assistant Director of the Gas \& Water Division, and Utility Analyst of the Gas \& Water Division, Iqbal Al-Azad.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Good morning. MR. SPEIDEL: Good morning.
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Is there a procedure we plan on following this morning?

MR. SPEIDEL: Well, I would suggest that
we bring Mr. Bloomfield to the stand, as he is the testimonial presenter for this proceeding. And, I don't know if Mr. Saltsman would like to engage in some direct questioning of Mr . Bloomfield or not?

MR. SALTSMAN: Yes.
MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. Then, after
Mr. Saltsman concludes his direct questioning, I would ask some cross-examination questions.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Let's do so. Is that a surprise?

MR. BLOOMFIELD: Yes.
(Whereupon Peter A. Bloomfield was duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)

MR. SALTSMAN: Good morning, Mr.
Bloomfield.
WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Good morning, Mr. Saltsman.

## PETER A. BLOOMFIELD, SWORN

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SALTSMAN:
Q. Can you tell -- can you tell the Commission if there
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
has been any significant steam line repairs done this year?
A. Yes. There has been. We have repaired a couple of large -- replaced a couple of large expansion joints in the system, as well as some -- two or three smaller ones and smaller steam leaks that were in the system. MR. SALTSMAN: Thank you. That will be all.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Do we have exhibits we'd like to be marked?

MR. SPEIDEL: It's a little unusual doing it through cross, but $I$ can certainly oblige. We're all amateurs at this on one level or another, but I'm a little more familiar with it than Mr. Saltsman might be. So, what I would suggest -- Mr. Frink, would you mind handing that over to me please? Thank you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPEIDEL:
Q. There is a filing that was made on September the 11th of 2015. And, it has the caption "Concord Steam Annual Cost of Energy Filing". Mr. Bloomfield, did you prepare that exhibit --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or this material for the Commission's consideration?
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Do you have any corrections that you would like to make to this material?
A. I do not believe so.

MR. SPEIDEL: Very well. Then, I would
ask that the Commission mark this as "Hearing Exhibit 1"? COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. Thank you. (The document, as described, was herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for identification.)

BY MR. SPEIDEL:
Q. And, there is a second piece of material that was sent to the Commission a little bit earlier, on September the 4th of 2015. And, it has the caption "Concord Steam Corporation, Correction of typo on tariff". Do you recognize this material, Mr. Bloomfield?
A. Yes, I do. Yes.
Q. And, did you have a role in preparing this?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Any corrections to this correction material?
A. I don't think so.

MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very much. I
would ask that the Commission mark this as "Hearing Exhibit 2"?
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. We'll need copies at some point.

MR. SPEIDEL: It hasn't made it to the Commissioners. Well, we'll clarify that. If need be, we'll have it resubmitted. But it appears to have been filed officially with the Commission under Docket Number DG 14-233.

MS. DENO: Oh, I have that.
MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. So, I think that would be in the docket files. And, we would have it cross-referenced within this DG 15-377 file, if possible. Thank you so much, Clerk.
(The document, as described, was herewith marked as Exhibit 2 for identification.)

BY MR. SPEIDEL:
Q. Mr. Bloomfield, with regards to Hearing Exhibit 1, would you please compare this year's over-collection with last year's under-collection? For instance, on Page 3, there's a reference.
A. Yes. We had -- this year, there was an over-collection of roughly $\$ 5,000$, versus last year's under-collection of $\$ 145,000$. Part of the 145,000 was a one-time charge of oil that was in our large storage tanks that was
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
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unusable/unretrievable. And, there was a \$50,000 charge on that.

Other than that, it was -- it was a colder winter in this past year, so that we, having sold more steam, and our line loss was a slightly smaller percentage of our steam sales than it would have been normally, those are probably the two largest impacts $I$ can think that would make that difference.
Q. Thank you. Could you tell us, Mr. Bloomfield, how this year's diesel costs compared with last year's.
A. The diesel fuel we use is primarily in the woodyard, heavy equipment, and in the truck delivery of wood. And, that cost is probably a dollar, $\$ 1.50$ less than it was a year ago, I think.
Q. Thank you. Can you tell us how diesel fuel prices impact the cost of wood in our area? Is there some correlation that you can describe?
A. There is. A significant portion of the cost of fuel -rather, the cost of wood is diesel, because there's a lot of the harvesting of wood that's automated, run by large skidders and feller bunchers and shears --
[Court reporter interruption.]
CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
A. "Feller buncher" it's called. As well as the
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
transportation of the truck to and from the woods. So there's a rough rule of thumb that some of the foresters have developed that for every dollar change in the cost of diesel fuel, the cost of wood fuel changes about $\$ 2.00$ per ton.

BY MR. SPEIDEL:
Q. Thank you. Can you tell us how this year's natural gas costs compared with last year's?
A. Last year, we were paying around $\$ 14$ and change, and this year it's somewhere around \$12 and change.
Q. Thank you.
A. We have locked in gas prices at that price for the year.
Q. And, given the $\$ 150,000$ decrease or swing in the over-/under-collection figures, lower diesel costs and lower natural gas costs, would you be able to give us an explanation as to why this year's cost of energy rate is almost identical to last year's? For instance, the 2014 rate was $\$ 25.10$ per Mlb, and the 2015 rate is $\$ 25.22$ per Mlb.
A. We started last year at $\$ 25.10$, and we raised it a dollar part way through the winter. So that we, for at least half of the heating season, we were at $\$ 26.10$. The amount of gas that we have -- that we have planned \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
on burning in the past, we have always seemed to have underestimated it, and, therefore, had to make corrections. So, this year, we were a little more aggressive in trying to figure out really how much natural gas we might burn. And, so, we allocated a little bit more of that to our -- to our budget this year.
Q. On Page 4 of your testimony, within Hearing Exhibit 1, you state that "the Berlin Burgess Biopower plant has had no noticeable impact on Concord Steam's wood prices." Where does Concord Steam get most of its wood from?
A. The majority of our wood comes from south and west of us. North and east of us either tends to go to Schiller, on the coast, or the wood-fired plants north of us. And, although Berlin had been paying in the neighborhood of $\$ 42$ a ton for its wood up in northern New Hampshire, it's enough of a long-haul truck that the loggers down here were not willing to make that, it wasn't worth it for them. So, we're paying to our yard about $\$ 26$, compared to the $\$ 42$ up in Berlin. And, most of that, as I said, comes from south of us and west of us.
Q. So, in general terms, that would be Cheshire County
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
area --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and western Merrimack County?
A. We occasionally would get something from Massachusetts, but, generally, it's in about a 30 -mile radius of Concord.
Q. Okay. On Page 8 of your testimony, you state that you "lost four customers this year". Do you know if those customers converted to natural gas?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Okay. How much of that -- an impact did the lost of customers have on your sales forecast?
A. Their historical use was approximately 2,000 Mlbs.
Q. Okay. And, would that be elucidated on Schedule 3 of Hearing Exhibit 1?
A. Yes, it would.
Q. Have any other customers left or notified, you know, have they provided notification to the Company that they will be terminating service since you filed your testimony?
A. There was, I think, one other small customer that already converted, years ago they converted most of their building, and just recently they finished the conversion. And, I don't remember how much it was \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
offhand, but it was a small customer.
Q. Do you know the identity of that customer?
A. I do, but I don't remember right off the top of my head.
Q. That's all right. This can happen.
A. Yes.
Q. So, we'll move on. Did you receive a copy of the Final Audit Report issued by the Commission Staff on last year's cost of energy?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did the filed expenses compare with the audited expenses?
A. They were -- there was a difference of about -- a net difference of about $\$ 12,000$. And, it was out of a \$3 million budget of cost of energy, it was roughly $\$ 12,000$, or a small percentage.

MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you. Just one moment please.
(Atty. Speidel conferring with Mr. Frink.)

MR. SPEIDEL: Commissioners, I would at the present time request that we begin the confidential segment of this hearing, so that we could discuss certain material provided by Concord Steam Corporation in its

```
        {DG 15-377} [Redacted - for public use] {10-19-15}
```

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
quarterly updated progress report or status report. And, after the conclusion of Staff's questions, we would invite the Commissioners to engage in their bench questioning.

And, the sequence would be as follows:
We will ask our questions regarding the status report. Then, you can ask your bench questions about the same. And, then, we can save any general matters, if you have any bench questions about that.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: That sounds good.
I note, fortunately, in that respect, there's no audience here, so, we don't have to clear a room. So, that works.

MR. SPEIDEL: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you.
MR. SPEIDEL: Therefore, I ask that Mr.
Patnaude begin the confidential segment of the hearing.
(Pages 15 through 28 of the hearing
transcript is contained under separate cover designated as "Confidential \& Proprietary".)
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
[REDACTED - CONFIDENTIAL]
```

\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
[REDACTED - CONFIDENTIAL]
```

\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
(Hearing resumes on the public portion of the record.)

MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SCOTT: And, are you done with the non-confidential stuff?

MR. SPEIDEL: There is one small piece of housekeeping. I don't know if Mr. Bloomfield needs to be a sworn witness for this. But, Ms. Deno, as the court clerk, have you received the affidavit of publication for this matter?

MS. DENO: Yes.
MR. SPEIDEL: You have. And, it came in a little late, didn't it?

MS. DENO: It came in that day, the day it was supposed to, but later in the day.

MR. SPEIDEL: Oh, later in the day. It did, in deed. Okay. I'm sorry.

So, does that have to be stricken? I've never done that before. No need to strike the testimony. We just clarified it came in.

It is important to get the affidavit of publication in timely to Ms. Deno, because she's, and Ms. Carmody, they're together, kind of our weather eye on the technical requirements of RSA 541-A under the
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

Administrative Procedures Act. And, some of it is really tuned in to the pre-computer age.

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Uh-huh.
MR. SPEIDEL: But the affidavit of publication, if it isn't brought in three days in advance of the hearing, especially three business days would be ideal, but certainly three days in advance of the hearing, folks get nervous, because we've had situations where we had to have a republication and a rescheduling of the hearing date.

And, if you can be proactive in communicating with her and Ms. Carmody about when the affidavit comes in, that would be a real help to all of us, since it's one of those administrative pieces that is mandatory. So, --

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Yes. Okay. No, I got that message. And, in years past, we had often just submitted the affidavit at this hearing.

MR. SPEIDEL: Right.
WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: And, so, I
understand the situation. And, we, obviously, we publish it within the time we're supposed to, and we get the affidavit back typically from the newspaper then. So, we'll just make sure to pass it on.

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. It wasn't so long ago that we did that.

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Right.
MR. SPEIDEL: But, in one instance, a major utility was going to bring it in and it didn't, and that caused a real hassle.

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Okay.
MR. SPEIDEL: So, it was literally four days before the end of the cost of energy period or the cost of gas period. And, so, thank you for that. And, thank you for your testimony. And, thank you for the Company's participation.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you.
Commissioner Bailey, do you have any questions on the non-confidential side?

BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
Q. Do you have Exhibit 1 with you?
A. I do.
Q. Can you look at Schedule 5 please? I reviewed these or I looked through these schedules, and I have to confess, I couldn't really follow them very easily.
A. Yes.
Q. And, so, I thought maybe, since Schedule 5 looks like it has a lot of information on it, you could walk me \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
through that.
A. Yes. Okay.
Q. How you get the, you know, --
A. Right.
Q. -- what are the formulas in the columns?
A. Okay. Schedule 5 is our reconciliation of what we have -- a comparison of what we've actually projected our steam sales and our cost of energy was to what it actually is. And, so, that the first upper left-hand quadrant there is the actual Btus of energy that is used in both natural gas and wood on the months listed. The center top is what that natural gas then would cost us. And, then, there's a summary of costs on the right-hand side.

Adding in is, where he have "other production costs", which are things that are related to the cost of -- related to the cost of energy, in terms of, for instance, ash disposal. That the more or less wood we burn, the more or less ash we anticipate we'd cost that. Air emissions fees, the more fuel we burn or the less fuel we burn, our air emission fees are changed appropriately. So, that's the "other production costs" that are in there.

The lower left-hand is what we
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
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originally projected, and on -- and in terms of actual energy usage. And, the bottom lower right-hand is what we had projected for our original cost of materials.

So, the top is our -- ends up being our actuals, and the bottom is our projected.
Q. So, the very top line, where it says "Cost of Energy", --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that's -- I see. That's the monthly total from the right-hand table?
A. Yes. Yes, exactly.
Q. So, say, just for an example, the cost of natural gas of "\$184,847", in "November 2014", is that just the bill that you got from the gas company or is that some amount of usage times a rate? How do you get that number?
A. That is the bill we get from the gas companies, actually, because we buy gas from -- I don't think it's Hess this year, it's --

MR. SALTSMAN: Direct.

## CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

A. -- Direct Energy. Direct Energy. And, then, we also get bills from Liberty for the distribution and delivery of the gas. So, we get more than one bill.
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

But that is what our bill for gas was.
BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
Q. Okay.
A. So that it was not a calculated number, it was a billed invoice number.
Q. And, so, you don't show that calculation anywhere?
A. We don't. Because that's the -- that is just the invoice amount we get from the gas company.
Q. Okay. And, the same with the price of wood?
A. Well, no. The wood is a little different, because there we pay a dozen or so different suppliers. And, how much they -- any one supplier gives us is dependent upon weather, it depends on where they are harvesting their material, whether they're delivering it to us or delivering to another wood plant. And, so, the actual suppliers change week-by-week, in terms of the wood supply.
Q. So, again, this column for "wood" supply is just based on your invoices?
A. That is, again, just based on invoices, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. The center column of "wood" also has some other costs in there related to what it costs us to operate our woodyard.
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
Q. Okay. So, that's included in the cost of wood, not the "other production costs"?
A. That's correct.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. All right.
Thank you.
WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Yes.
COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you.
BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
Q. On -- while we're still on the same charts, you're showing "waste oil". Is that an artifact of past years?
A. Yes. We haven't burned waste oil in a number of years.
Q. Okay.
A. I just didn't change it yet.
Q. If we go to $\mathrm{CB}-4$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. You may be there, but I need to get there.
A. Toward the back. Yes.
Q. I was curious, when you look at "July-15", if you could just talk to why the -- just help me why the cost to generate per kilowatt-hour is so much more expensive there, on that last -- the very last line for "July-15", it's over a dollar per kilowatt-hour. I was just curious, why the cost -- is it because kind of a \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
economy of scale issue going on?
A. It is. You see, in July, we're only running for a short period of time. We only made a little over 2,000 kilowatt-hours. And, some of the cost, where we calculate through that, is the "Total Overhead" number, and the return on investment gets plugged into there. If you look at, toward the center, it says "Total Fuel Cost of Steam"?
Q. Yes.
A. For July, it was only "\$106", as compared to January, it was 30,000. But, then, you get down to the "Grand Total Costs", and, so, we're -- of July, it was almost $\$ 2,700$ for our total cost that we allocate for that month for energy. So, it's just skewed because of the -- that we charge -- we flatten out our total overhead and our return on investment on a monthly basis, instead of doing it on an annual basis per kilowatt-hour.
Q. So, that would indicate to me, which is kind of obvious, that if you significantly -- had significantly less load/customer base, you would -- the cost per unit, if you will, would go up, because --
A. Yes. That's right.
Q. You talk about -- you had mentioned "air emissions \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
[WITNESS: Bloomfield]
fees" in some of your costs. Are those fees paid? Are you in compliance?
A. We are up-to-date with our payments, yes.
Q. How about stack testing? Is that all --
A. Yes. We have done all of our stack testing and all of our RATA and calibration of the equipment.
Q. You get the NOx RACT tests done?
A. Did we get the NOx RACT tests done? Yes, we did.
Q. I may know more about this than the average commissioner.
A. Yes. I know.
Q. So, overall, you're in compliance, to your knowledge, of --
A. Yes. We're in compliance.
Q. I saw, and I'm just curious if you knew, recent press, I think it was Pinetree Bethlehem had a major issue of late, is that --
A. Yes. The past couple of weeks their generator failed and lost their switch gear and transformers. And, I am betting that they don't restart up.
Q. Will that have a -- you know, bad for them, but will that help you in some way?
A. No. I think what it will help is it will help Berlin. You know, that's 200,000 tons a year that now is more \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
available on the market and will help Berlin.
Q. Okay. And, trust me, I'm not hoping any of this would happen to you. But, if you had a similar situation, obviously, you're on a thin margin, and you've been talking about financing. Do you have insurance that would cover you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes, we do. For instance, we had a small fire that damaged some conveyors last winter, that it was covered by insurance. It caused us to not be able to burn wood in January. And, so, we had to buy gas. It was expensive. But the cost of the gas and the repairs to the equipment was all covered by insurance, minus a deductible.
Q. So, and, again, I hope nothing happens, but, in a catastrophic issue, you could probably put a package boiler in there or something?
A. That's not likely to happen to us. In Bethlehem's situation, they had one turbine generator. That was the -- that's all they generate. That's all they sell, is electricity from that one piece of equipment. That piece of equipment failed, and they're dead. We've got multiple boilers and a lot of redundancies that we're \{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
not quite in the same situation. Yes, we are covered in that case.
Q. Good. You mentioned earlier the four lost customers, it's in your testimony.
A. Yes.
Q. Did they -- I assume they all went to natural gas?
A. Yes. They did.
Q. And, did you have an indication why? Were they at a point where they had to do some infrastructure issues in a building or something?
A. The Historical Society was doing some significant renovations, and looking at improving the overall air quality and air conditioning systems for their -- for keeping some of their older materials. And, so, when they were renovating all of that, that's when they chose to convert from steam to gas. That was the largest of the ones. And, as I say, so, they were going through a renovation.

The others, one was a school, the St. John's School.

MR. SALTSMAN: That was the same
situation.
WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Yes. Right.
BY THE WITNESS:
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
A. So, those were at least two that I suspect were the same kind of thing, they were doing some renovations. BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
Q. Okay. So, that -- okay. That helps. And, so, it's a common thread. So, while the building is being looked at and they're redoing the building, that's what caused those decisions to be made?
A. Yes. Right. Right.
Q. All right. And, I think my final question is, and I'm sure it's in here, but, when I look at your -- the different schedules, I didn't catch where you see your revenues from RECs, is that --
A. We do not get RECs.
Q. You do not?
A. We do not qualify.
Q. Okay. I guess I lost that thread earlier then.
A. Yes. Right now, we do not qualify for RECs, for electric RECs or thermal RECs, because of our -- our NOx emissions are too high and our particulate emissions are too high to qualify for the --
Q. Okay.
A. -- levels needed.
Q. So that, in that respect, that's a planned revenue source --
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
A. Yes.
Q. -- when you upgrade? Okay.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: All right. I think
that's all I have. I'm going to ask if the Company had any redirect?

MR. SALTSMAN: No. COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay.

MR. SALTSMAN: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We can do closing statements.

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. Closing statements.
I can begin. On behalf of Staff, we recommend to the Commission that Concord Steam's 2015-2016 Cost of Energy rates be approved as filed, based on Staff's review of the supporting schedules and discovery. Staff notes that these rates are subject to reconciliation and adjustment. And, that the Audit Report for the prior year found no major issues.

And, we thank the Company and the
Commission for its consideration and time. Thank you. COMMISSIONER SCOTT: And, the Company?

MR. SALTSMAN: On behalf of the
Company -- oh. On behalf of the Company, we thank the Staff and the Commission for their diligence, and would
\{DG 15-377\} [Redacted - for public use] \{10-19-15\}
appreciate a positive rate order in corresponse [sic] to what we've submitted. And, that's all.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Is there a time
frame by which you need an order?
MR. BLOOMFIELD: November 1st.
MR. SALTSMAN: November 1st.
MR. BLOOMFIELD: Is when our --
MR. SALTSMAN: That's when our rates
are -- that's when our rates typically change, is November 1st.

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. And, I assume there are no objections to marking the exhibits? (Atty. Speidel shaking head in the negative.)

COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. We'll do
that. Okay. If there are no other procedural issues, we will adjourn.
[No verbal response]
COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you.
(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
9:51 a.m.)
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